



Subject:	Proposal for naming new streets
Date:	4 June 2019
Reporting Officer:	Ian Harper, Building Control Manager
Contact Officer:	Roisin Adams, Business Coordinator

Restricted Reports	
Is this report restricted?	Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
If Yes, when will the report become unrestricted?	
After Committee Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
After Council Decision	<input type="checkbox"/>
Some time in the future	<input type="checkbox"/>
Never	<input type="checkbox"/>

Call-in	
Is the decision eligible for Call-in?	Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

1.0	Purpose of Report or Summary of main Issues
1.1	To consider applications for the naming of new streets and a continuation of an existing street in the City.
2.0	Recommendations
2.1	Based on the information presented, the Committee is required to make a recommendation in respect of applications for naming new streets in the City. The Committee may either: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Grant the applications, or• Refuse the applications and request that the applicants submit other names for consideration.

3.0	Main report															
3.1	<p><u>Key Issues</u></p> <p>The power for the Council to name streets is contained in Article 11 of the Local Government (Miscellaneous Provisions) (NI) Order 1995.</p>															
3.2	<p>Members are asked to consider the following applications for naming a new street and the continuation of an existing street in the City. The application particulars are in order and the Royal Mail has no objections to the proposed names. The proposed new names are not contained in the Council's Streets Register and do not duplicate existing approved street names in the City.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="336 725 1401 1016"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="336 725 699 759">Proposed Name</th> <th data-bbox="699 725 1061 759">Location</th> <th data-bbox="1061 725 1401 759">Applicant</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="336 759 699 826">Castlegowan Drive</td> <td data-bbox="699 759 1061 826">Off Castlegowan Place, BT5</td> <td data-bbox="1061 759 1401 826">Braidwater Group</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="336 826 699 893">Continuation Castlegowan Park</td> <td data-bbox="699 826 1061 893">Off Quarry Hill, BT5</td> <td data-bbox="1061 826 1401 893">Braidwater Group</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="336 893 699 949">Whitewell Grove</td> <td data-bbox="699 893 1061 949">Off Whitewell Road, BT36</td> <td data-bbox="1061 893 1401 949">Rolston Architects</td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="336 949 699 1016">Elmgrove Court</td> <td data-bbox="699 949 1061 1016">Off Beersbridge Road, BT5</td> <td data-bbox="1061 949 1401 1016">Rolston Architects</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Proposed Name	Location	Applicant	Castlegowan Drive	Off Castlegowan Place, BT5	Braidwater Group	Continuation Castlegowan Park	Off Quarry Hill, BT5	Braidwater Group	Whitewell Grove	Off Whitewell Road, BT36	Rolston Architects	Elmgrove Court	Off Beersbridge Road, BT5	Rolston Architects
Proposed Name	Location	Applicant														
Castlegowan Drive	Off Castlegowan Place, BT5	Braidwater Group														
Continuation Castlegowan Park	Off Quarry Hill, BT5	Braidwater Group														
Whitewell Grove	Off Whitewell Road, BT36	Rolston Architects														
Elmgrove Court	Off Beersbridge Road, BT5	Rolston Architects														
3.3	<p>Braidwater Group have proposed Castlegowan Drive as their first choice and Castlegowan Avenue and Castlegowan Close as the second and third choice, as the new street is accessed directly off Castlegowan Place within the Castlegowan development.</p>															
3.4	<p>Rolston Architects have proposed Whitewell Grove as their first choice and Whitewell Manor and Whitewell Park as the second and third choice, as the new development will be accessed from Whitewell Road.</p>															
3.5	<p>Rolston Architects have also proposed Elmgrove Court as the first choice for a new street, which is adjacent to Elmgrove Manor and in close proximity to Elmgrove Primary school. Elmgrove Place and Elmgrove Mews are being proposed as the second and third choice. Royal Mail have advised that Elmgrove Mews could cause confusion with deliveries as an apartment block located at 231 – 239 Beersbridge Road, use Elmgrove Mews as their building name and they feel that the proposal for Elmgrove Mews for the new street could cause confusion for deliveries.</p>															
3.6	<p><u>Financial & Resource Implications</u></p> <p>There are no Financial, Human Resources, Assets and other implications in this report.</p>															

3.7	<u>Equality or Good Relations Implications/Rural Needs Assessment</u> There are no direct Equality/rural needs implications.
4.0	Appendices
	None